



Methacton Transportation Department

Proposed Operational and Organizational Structure

Areas of Need and Decision Points

- ▶ Routing Software
 - ▶ Needs- User friendly, strong support capabilities, cost effective, technologically relevant
 - ▶ Decision- Remain with current system or change
- ▶ Routing Services
 - ▶ Needs:
 - ▶ Initial review and route improvement project
 - ▶ Annual need of student data roll over from one grade to the next
 - ▶ Periodic review for efficiency and continuity
 - ▶ Daily Needs
 - ▶ Decision- who and for what and for how much
- ▶ Department Oversight
 - ▶ Needs- systems and processes to manage and oversee the transportation department
 - ▶ Decisions
 - ▶ Personnel/structure
 - ▶ Cost evaluation

Routing Software

- ▶ Presentations held on 12-20-16
 - ▶ Participants were district staff, First Student site manager and external transportation experts
- ▶ Four systems were presented
 - ▶ Criteria evaluated- initial implementation plans, training and support, reporting capabilities, mapping quality, abilities to pre-assign attendance zones and restrictions, interoperability.
 - ▶ Two finalists were discussed- TransFinder and Bus Boss (Orbit Software)
- ▶ Bus Boss Selected- Rationale
 - ▶ Comparable system functions
 - ▶ Cost efficiencies
 - ▶ Training options and corporate location

Routing Services

- ▶ Criteria for evaluation
 - ▶ Initial transition plans, routing support team, annual benchmarks, experience with public schools, student notification systems, plan for local input.
- ▶ Orbit Software selected for Major Routing Needs- Rationale
 - ▶ Orbit's project parameters and annual tasks
 - ▶ Initial analysis, route creation and final routes for 17-18
 - ▶ Annual roll-over and new student uploads
 - ▶ Local knowledge and history
- ▶ First Student selected for Daily Routing Needs- Rationale
 - ▶ Daily routing needs- new student additions, suggestions by our drivers, dispatchers and district administration all require local area knowledge.

Departmental Oversight

- ▶ Options considered- Transportation manager or third party
- ▶ Several operations considered for third party-
 - ▶ Transpar (School Bus Consultants) and the Montgomery County I.U. Transportation Department
- ▶ M.C.I.U. selected- Rationale
 - ▶ Support team and additional secretarial assistance as needed
 - ▶ Local knowledge and location
 - ▶ Long standing transportation relationship
 - ▶ Cost efficiencies

MCIU major duties and functions:

- ▶ Act as liaison between District and Contracted Transportation Provider
- ▶ Act as liaison between District and Software and Routing Services Vendor
- ▶ Monthly review of invoices
- ▶ Assist with State Reporting
- ▶ Administrative Support - provide guidance and oversight on transportation issues that may arise
- ▶ Audit Support - provide support when needed with district and state auditors. Also perform occasional internal audit of routes to assure consistency and efficiency
- ▶ Along with First Student- have the capability to make routing changes and updates
- ▶ Provide onsite presence

Next Steps:

- ▶ A meeting is being established between all parties
- ▶ Once Board approved:
 - ▶ Initial project duties assigned and timelines set
 - ▶ MCIU to manage the project
 - ▶ Orbit begins preliminary research and field work
- ▶ Late winter/early spring and into early summer
 - ▶ Analyses reports completed
 - ▶ Draft routes created and tested
 - ▶ Student data rolled over to 17-18 and adjustments made
 - ▶ Runs tested and final product well in advance of the start of school

Annual Cost Analysis for Year 1 and Beyond

	Year 1	Year 2 and beyond
▶ Routing Software- Bus Boss	\$5,640.00	\$5,640.00
▶ Routing Services- Orbit	\$39,800.00	\$8,100.00
▶ Initial analysis, route creation and set-up 17-18		
▶ Daily Routing Services- First Student	\$15,600.00	\$15,600.00
▶ Department Oversight- MCIU	\$69,000.00	\$69,000.00
▶ Total	\$130,040.00	\$98,340.00
❖ Annual calculations- actual costs will be prorated except for initial routing which should be completed by year's end		

Questions?